

cc: USM-ED

1 E. MARTIN ESTRADA
2 United States Attorney
3 MACK E. JENKINS
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division
VALERIE MAKAREWICZ (Cal. Bar No. 229637)
4 Assistant United States Attorney
Major Frauds Section
5 1100 United States Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
6 Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-0756
7 Facsimile: (213) 894-6269
E-mail: Valerie.Makarewicz@usdoj.gov

8
AMANDA LISKAMM
9 Director, Consumer Protection Branch
MANU J. SEBASTIAN
10 Trial Attorney
Consumer Protection Branch
11 U.S. Department of Justice
450 Fifth Street NW, Suite 6400
12 Washington, DC 20001
Telephone: (202) 514-0515
13 Facsimile: (202) 514-8742
E-mail: Manu.J.Sebastian@usdoj.gov

14
Attorneys for Plaintiff
15 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

17 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 5:23-CR-00021-JGB

19 Plaintiff,

ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE AND
FINDINGS REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME
PERIODS PURSUANT TO SPEEDY TRIAL
ACT

20 v.

21 JASON EDWARD THOMAS CARDIFF,

22 Defendant.

CURRENT TRIAL DATE: 1/23/24
PROPOSED TRIAL DATE: 4/23/24

24

25

26

27

28

1 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
2 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
3 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
4 parties in this matter on January 11, 2024. The Court hereby finds
5 that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into
6 this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the
7 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
8 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

9 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
10 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
11 defendant in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
12 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
13 or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the
14 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
15 and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for
16 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
17 diligence.

18 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

19 1. The trial in this matter is continued from January 23, 2024
20 to April 23, 2024 at 9:00 a.m. The status conference hearing is
21 continued to April 8, 2024 at 2:00 p.m.

22 2. The time period of January 23, 2024 to April 23, 2024,
23 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
24 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
25 and (B)(iv).

26 3. Defendant shall appear in Courtroom 1 of the George E.
27 Brown, Jr. Federal Building and United States Courthouse, 3470

1 Twelfth Street, Riverside, CA 92501-3801 on April 23, 2024 at 9:00
2 a.m.

3 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
4 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
5 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
6 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
7 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
8 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
9 commence.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 19, 2024
DATE

HONORABLE JESUS G. BERNAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16 || Presented by:

/s/
VALERIE L. MAKAREWICZ
Assistant United States Attorney